

**Fw: Cement Creek-Trip Report for August 2011 field work # 1mg/kg milligrams per kilograms**

**Sabrina Forrest** to: Hayhurst, Barry, barryhay01

09/26/2011 03:07 PM

From: Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US

To: "Hayhurst, Barry" <barry.hayhurst@urs.com>, barryhay01@hotmail.com

---

Barry, A couple things related to the delineation. I have tried to remind you several times that the HRS minimum for wetlands to get evaluated in 0.1 mile; however, the HRS looks at total frontage. Please take a look; the preliminary results letter needs revised to look at **cumulative frontage**.

**CSC also has a question (below). Please respond to me with that and I will forward to CSC.**

Sincerely,

Sabrina Forrest  
Site Assessment Manager  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
1595 Wynkoop Street, Mail Code: 8EPR-B  
Denver, CO 80202-1129  
Direct Ph: 303-312-6484  
Toll Free: 1 800-227-8917, 312-6484  
Fax: 303-312-6065  
Agency Cell: 303-589-1286

E-mail: forrest.sabrina@epa.gov

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you have received this document in error and any review, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, use, or copying of the contents of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail or telephone and destroy all copies of the original message and any attachments.

----- Forwarded by Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US on 09/26/2011 03:03 PM -----

From: Katharine Lima <kncj@sbcglobal.net>

To: William A Chantry <wchantry@csc.com>, Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: Robert Myers/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 09/26/2011 02:59 PM

Subject: Re: Fw: Cement Creek-Trip Report for August 2011 field work # 1mg/kg milligrams per kilograms

---

Sabrina:

Having looked through the attachments in the four emails, with specific attention to the wetlands delineation preliminary results letter, CSC would like to note the following:

- The letter states that under the HRS, only wetlands that span greater than 0.10 mile along the creek qualify for consideration. However, to achieve the scoring scenario that we discussed, each wetland does not actually need to be greater than 0.10 mile - just the cumulative total of qualifying wetlands within the zone of actual contamination.

Also, we have one question:

- The letter also states that wetlands were identified in the field according to 3 specific parameters, but appears to differentiate between field identification and what is referred to in the next statement as formal delineation. Do the Routine Determination protocol and the Delineation Manual that were used for the formal delineation also incorporate or include the 40 CFR 230.3 definition of wetlands?

Thank you.

-Katharine

Katharine Lima  
Environmental Scientist  
CSC  
2119 South Fourth Street  
Springfield, Illinois 62703  
Science, Engineering and Mission Support  
| p: 217.525.8756 | [kncj@sbcglobal.net](mailto:kncj@sbcglobal.net) | [www.csc.com](http://www.csc.com)

This is a PRIVATE message. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete without copying and kindly advise us by e-mail of the mistake in delivery.

NOTE: Regardless of content, this e-mail shall not operate to bind CSC to any order or other contract unless pursuant to explicit written agreement or government initiative expressly permitting the use of e-mail for such purpose. •

--- On **Mon, 9/26/11**,

**Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov** <*Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov*> wrote:

From: Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov <Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov>  
Subject: Fw: Cement Creek-Trip Report for August 2011 field work # 1mg/kg milligrams per kilograms  
To: kncj@sbcglobal.net, "William A Chantry" <wchantry@csc.com>  
Cc: Myers.Robert@epamail.epa.gov  
Date: Monday, September 26, 2011, 11:14 AM

Katharine, I am sending over the Cement Creek wetlands report and appendices in four emails. This is the first set of documents. Could you please respond to Barry question below, as it does make some sense to wait on finalizing the delineation report in case CSC has significant comments/language changes?

Sincerely,

Sabrina Forrest  
Site Assessment Manager  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
1595 Wynkoop Street, Mail Code: 8EPR-B  
Denver, CO 80202-1129  
Direct Ph: 303-312-6484  
Toll Free: 1 800-227-8917, 312-6484  
Fax: 303-312-6065  
Agency Cell: 303-589-1286

E-mail: [forrest.sabrina@epa.gov](mailto:forrest.sabrina@epa.gov)

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you have received this document in error and any review, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, use, or copying of the contents of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail or telephone and destroy all copies of the original message and any attachments.

----- Forwarded by Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US on 09/26/2011 10:11 AM

-----

From: "Hayhurst, Barry" <[barry.hayhurst@urs.com](mailto:barry.hayhurst@urs.com)>  
To: Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 09/22/2011 01:11 PM  
Subject: Cement Creek-Trip Report for August 2011 field work # 1mg/kg  
milligrams per kilograms

This is the first email of the trip report for the August 2011 field work on Cement Creek.

This report includes wetlands, Gold King sampling, overland flow, mineralogy, etc.

This will be used as a reference in the HRS document, “draft” to be replaced by the final version when it is finalized.

I wonder if we should have the CSC folks read the wetlands report and make their suggestions for conformity to the HRS process. I can see several editorial changes that could be made, but CSC might have some specific wording they would like to see.

The analytical data has not been validated and probably will not be until Minot and the Montana raid are over in October.

This e-mail and any attachments contain URS Corporation confidential information that may be proprietary or privileged. If you receive this message in error or are not the intended recipient, you should not retain, distribute, disclose or use any of this information and you should destroy the e-mail and any attachments or copies.

(See attached file: wetlands rpt.text.doc)(See attached file: Table 1-Source samplesmine waste.xlsx)(See attached file: Figure 1.pdf)